

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,903	04/11/2001	Lory D. Molesky	50277-1004	8571	
23517 7	590 06/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 3000 K STREET, NW			STORK, I	STORK, KYLE R	
BOX IP	51, IN W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		2178		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Art Unit   Live   Stork   Live   Art Unit   Live			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Kyle R. Stork  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.736(a), in an event, however, may a sulph be timely filed. If No period to reply is specified sow, the maximum studiory period will appear and will apple SM (MANTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the specified provider for reply will, by studied, cause the application is become ABANCAPED (SU J.S. C. § 1.33).  STATUS  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11. April 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3i) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The proving the filed on is/are allowed.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are piected or is/are is/are is/are is/are i	Office Action Summary				D.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extending for them pure be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(), in a over-th rower, may rely be time filled.  If NO period for regly is specified above, the mentions statisticity pried will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallut or regly is specified above, the mentions statisticity pried will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallut or regly within the set or activated period for regly its patature, cause the application for men APMONDED (5) 4.5, £130.  Any reply received by the Critical Bate than these mention after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any servine jument.  **Status**  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is final.  2b) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) □ Claim(s) □ Is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) □ Is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) □ Is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ Is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ Is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Payers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ Is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ Is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  11) □ The oration or oration produced the priority documents ha									
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Extentions of time may be availation under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(), in a overul, however, may reply be time with a sequence of the correspondence of the communication of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(), in a overul, however, may reply be timely flied.  **I NO period for reply is appelled above, the meantrum statutory pried will suply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **Fallut or topic within the ext or accordand period for reply its statute, cause has pollication to be communication, even if simply flied, may reduce any version of particle than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if simply flied, may reduce any version of particle and the supplication of the septiments.  **Status**  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2c) □ This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) □ Claim(s) □ sidare allowed.  • □ Claim(s) □ sidare objected to by the Examiner.  **Optication Papers**  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ sidare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers*  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ sidare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers*  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on □ sidare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application prove the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ objected to by the Exam			Kyle R. Stork	2178					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entercision of time maybe available under the provisions of 37 FR1 1-30(b). In ne event, however, may a perip be timely filled  If NO period for rugh is apscelled above, the maximum statutory printed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pellute in specifical date of this communication.  Feature for specification is provided by the office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, own if timely filed, may reduce any seemed patient and application.  Sea 70 FR1-76(4).  Status  1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 11 April 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiceded.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) and 10-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Critified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *S					dress `				
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stablory period will apply and will apply	A SH WHIC	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions of	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may	NICATION.	0) DAYS,				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachments  1) ☐ Notice of Parlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) o	- If NC - Failu Any	period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply very received by the Office later than three months at	tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo will, by statute, cause the application to become	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under  Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	Status				·				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under  Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2  Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 11 April 2006.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)		•			•				
Al) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)    accepted or b)    objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in This National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-145) 5) Motice of Informatification (PTO-152)		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	on of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pendin	g in the application.	•	•				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	· ·								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or None or Or Or None or Or None or Or None or Or Or None or Or None or Or None or Or Or None or Or Or None or O		•							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠	<u>^</u>							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	7) 🗌								
9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicati	on Papers							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<i>y</i> .,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				Application No					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				en received in this National	Stage				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 8	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	• •	_						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
	3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,903 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This non-final office action is in response to the request for continued examination filed 11 April 2006 and the amendment filed 14 February 2006.

2. Claims 1-8 and 10-24 are pending. Claims 1, 11-12, 17, and 21 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screenshots from <a href="www.iwu.edu/~lshapiro">www.iwu.edu/~lshapiro</a>, via Web Archive (web.archive.org/web/\*/http://www.iwu.edu/~lshapiro), December 06, 1998, hereafter Screenshots and further in view of Myers et al. (US 5581677, patented 3 December 1996, hereafter Myers).

As per independent claim 1, Screenshots disclose a computer-readable medium bearing instructions in a markup language for interactively presenting information to a user, the instructions arranged, upon processing by a rendering agent, to cause one or more processors execution the rendering agent to perform the steps of:

Displaying simultaneously a first data and a second data on a web page (Figure
 1, items 1 and 2)

Art Unit: 2178

Setting a plurality of active regions on the first data wherein each active region is responsive to an event and performs an action in response to the event (Figure 1, item 1: Here, several active regions (links) are shown. When a link is selected, a new data is displayed in the place of the second data (Figure 2, item 3))

- Detecting an event relating to the first chart (Figures 1-2: Here, when a link is selected by a user, a new data is displayed in the place of the second data)
- In response to the event relating to the first data, performing the action of replacing the second data with a third data so as to display simultaneously the first data and the third data on the web page (Figures 1-2)
- Wherein the instructions in the markup language is generated based on the
  content information and the styling information obtained for the first data, the
  second data and the third data stored on the web page (Figures 1-2: Here, the
  styling and content information are stored within the first data (Figure 1, item 1).

Further, the second and third data are stored on the web page (via links))

Screenshots fail to specifically disclose wherein the data is a chart. Myers discloses a chart (column 1, lines 13-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Screenshots with Myers, since it would have allowed a user to access multiple charts via a menu chart.

As per dependent claim 2, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further discloses the event includes a cursor control event relating to one of the active regions

Art Unit: 2178

(Figure 1, item 1: Here, several active regions (links) are shown. When a link is selected, a new data is displayed in the place of the second data (Figure 2, item 3)).

As per dependent claim 3, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 2, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further discloses selecting a third data from the plurality of data based on the one of the active regions indicated by the cursor control event (Figures 2, item 1: Here, the user selects a third data from the active region (link)).

As per dependent claim 4, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 3, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further discloses:

- Detecting another cursor control event, wherein the other cursor control event relates to another one of the active regions (Figures 2-3)
- In response to the other cursor control event, performing the steps of:
  - Selecting a fourth chart from the plurality of charts based on the other of the active regions indicated by the other cursor control event (Figures 2-3)
  - Replacing the third chart with the fourth data so as to display
     simultaneously the first data and the fourth data on the web page (Figure 3, item 4)

As per dependent claim 5, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further disclose wherein the event includes a movement of a cursor over the first data, a movement of the cursor out of the first data, or a click when the cursor is positioned

over the first data (Figures 1-3: Here, when a user clicks on an active region (link), the event occurs).

As per dependent claim 6, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further discloses receiving content and styling information for the first data, second data, and the third data; generating the instructions in the markup language based on the content and styling information for the first data, second data, and third data; and embodying the instructions in the computer-readable medium (Figures 1-3: Here, the data is in the HTML markup language, which is rendered by the web browser. This information is also available on the Internet, a computer-readable medium).

As per dependent claim 7, Screenshots and Myers disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further discloses making the computer-readable medium accessible to the computer system and executing the rendering agent to load and render the information in accordance with the instructions in the markup language (Figures 1-3).

As per independent claim 17, the applicant discloses the limitations substantially similar to those in claim 1. The only noticeable difference is that claim 17 teaches more instances of replacing data on a web page. The method of claim 1 allows for multiple frames to be simultaneously displayed and replaced. Claim 17 is similarly rejected.

As per dependent claim 18, the applicant discloses receiving content and styling information for data on the web, similar to claim 6. Claim 18 is similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent claim 19, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 7. Claim 19 is similarly rejected.

5. Claims 8, 10-12, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screenshots and Myers and further in view of Michaud et al. (US 2002/0052891, filed 10 April 1998, hereafter Michaud).

As per dependent claim 8, the applicant discloses the limitations substantially similar to those in claim 1, except the use of a map element and wherein the image elements reference data. Screenshots fails to disclose these limitations. However, Michaud discloses use of a map element wherein the image elements reference data (paragraph 0006).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Screenshots and Myers with Michaud, since it would have allowed a user to use image data in conjunction with links (Michaud: paragraph 0006).

As per dependent claim 10, Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 8, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots further disclose the replacing the second data with the third data is performed without loading another web page (Figures 1-3: Here, as the second data is replaced with the third data, the URL of the web page does not change).

Art Unit: 2178

As per independent claim 11, the applicant discloses the limitations substantially similar to those in claims 1 and 8. Claim 11 is similarly rejected under Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud.

As per independent claim 12, the applicant discloses the limitations substantially similar to those in claim 11, except the use of a shape attribute specifying a geometry for display on the single web page that overlaps at least part of the first data and does not overlap the second data. Michaud further discloses use of a shape attribute specifying a geometry for display on the single web page that overlaps at least part of the first data and does not overlap the second data (paragraphs 0006-0009: Here, hotspots are geometric areas that link to another data set. Hotspots are then overlapped with image data).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud with Michaud, since it would have allowed a user to interact with image data (Michaud: paragraphs 0006-0009).

As per dependent claim 20, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 8. Claim 20 is similarly rejected.

As per independent claim 21, the applicant discloses the limitation substantially similar to those in claim 12. The applicant further includes a second image map referencing a second image to be rendered in a second area. The method further includes a second image map referencing a second image to be rendered in a second area is substantially similar to having a first image map referencing a first image to be

Art Unit: 2178

rendered as disclosed by Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud. Claim 21 is similarly rejected.

As per dependent claim 22, the applicant discloses the limitations substantially similar to claim 17. Claim 22 is similarly rejected.

6. Claims 13-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud and further in view of Priester ("Mouse Over Magic," 2000, obtained from <a href="http://www.efuse.com/clinic/mouseover/">http://www.efuse.com/clinic/mouseover/</a>).

As per dependent claim 13, Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 12, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. They fail to specifically disclose the event including a movement of a cursor into the geometry specified by the shape attribute. However, Priester discloses events including the movement of a cursor into the geometry specified by the shape attribute (pages 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Screenshots, Myers, and Michaud with Priester, since it would have allowed a user to view changes based upon the positioning of a cursor (Priester: page 1).

As per dependent claim 14, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 4. Claim 14 is similarly rejected.

As per dependent claim 15, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 6. Claim 15 is similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent claim 16, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 7. Claim 16 is similarly rejected.

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screenshots and Myers and further in view of Robotham et al. (US 6704024, filed 29 November 2000, hereafter Robotham).

As per dependent claim 23, Screenshots and Myers, disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. Screenshots and Myers fail, to specifically disclose use of a DOM. However, Robotham discloses use of a DOM for rendering reference data (column 17, line 62- column 18, line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Screenshots and Myers with Robotham, since it would have allowed a user to map representations between input fields and the representation of the visual element (Robotham: column 18, lines 6-15).

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screenshots, and Myers and further in view of Priester.

As per dependent claim 24, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 13. Claim 24 is similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,903 Page 10

Art Unit: 2178

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 14 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that, "Screenshot teaches a mechanism for displaying multiple distinct web pages in a single window using a technique called framing (page 15)." The applicant further argues that if the examiner were to view the source code of the pages, that the source code would provide two distinct pages (page 15). However, the applicant has failed to provide the source code for the allegedly distinct pages. Further, the applicant states that "items 1 and 2 are not the same web page, but two distinct web pages displayed in a single window (page 15). However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with this statement. Figure 1 is a screenshot from the website found at www.iwu.edu/~lshapiro. Although this site may access/link to data at an external location, as was well known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention, the content is displayed within the bound of the web page found at www.iwu.edu/~Ishapiro. Further, the applicant argues that Screenshot fails to teach the second and third data stored on the web page (pages 15-16). The examiner respectfully disagrees. The second and third data are stored as links (Figure 1, item 1). Clicking on one of the data item links in Figure 1, item 1 results in Figures 2 and 3, with data items 3 and 4 shown.

**Art Unit: 2178** 

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle R. Stork whose telephone number is (571) 272-4130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kyle R Stork Patent Examiner Art Unit 2178

krs

PRIMARY EXAMINER